Conformal prediction for the design problem

Clara Wong-Fannjiang UC Berkeley

Conformal prediction for the design problem

arXiv:2202.03613, in submission



Stephen Bates

Anastasios N. Angelopoulos

Jennifer Listgarten Michael I. Jordan

Roadmap

Single-shot design

Feedback covariate shift

Intro to conformal prediction

Generalization to feedback covariate shift

Demonstration with protein design experiments

Single-shot design





Single-shot design



because the training data are used to choose the distribution of designed inputs.

A distribution shift where the training and designed data are statistically dependent,

because the training data are used to choose the distribution of designed inputs.



A distribution shift where the training and designed data are statistically dependent,

because the training data are used to choose the distribution of designed inputs.



A distribution shift where the training and designed data are **statistically dependent**,

- 8

because the training data are used to choose the distribution of designed inputs.



A distribution shift where the training and designed data are **statistically dependent**,

genetic algorithm gradient-based algorithm conditional generative model 9

A distribution shift where the training and designed data are **statistically dependent**, because the training data are used to choose the distribution of designed inputs.



Roadmap

Single-shot design

Feedback covariate shift

Intro to conformal prediction

Generalization to feedback covariate shift

Demonstration with protein design experiments

 $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n), (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$ exchangeable training and test data points

$$(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n), (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$$

Train regression model μ on training data. Goal: given a test input, X_{n+1} , construct a confidence set, $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})$, that gives **coverage**:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha}($$

for any user-specified **miscoverage level**, α .

exchangeable training and test data points

 $(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$

$$(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n), (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$$

Train regression model μ on training data.

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

for any user-specified **miscoverage level**, α .

- no assumptions on the model μ or on $P(Y \mid X)$
- finite-sample guarantee that holds for any amount of training data, n
- exchangeability is the key assumption!
- "full" conformal prediction

exchangeable training and test data points

Goal: given a test input, X_{n+1} , construct a confidence set, $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})$, that gives **coverage**:

Intuition behind full conformal prediction: include all real values, y, such that the candidate test point, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to training data as quantified by a score.

is to a multiset of data points D. Smaller score means more similar.

- **Score function** S((X,Y),D): user-specified function that quantifies how similar data point (X,Y)



Intuition behind full conformal prediction: include all real values, y, such that the candidate test point, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to training data as quantified by a score.

is to a multiset of data points D. Smaller score means more similar.

- ullet representative example is model residual, S
- variance of predictions from ensemble of models
- variance of predictions for small, random perturbations of input
- ... or any other heuristic notion of model's uncertainty

- **Score function** S((X,Y),D): user-specified function that quantifies how similar data point (X,Y)

$$'((X, Y), D) = |Y - \mu_D(X)|$$



Intuition behind full conformal prediction: include all real values, y, such that the candidate test point, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to training data as quantified by a score.

is to a multiset of data points D. Smaller score means more similar.

- ullet representative example is model residual, S
- variance of predictions from ensemble of models
- variance of predictions for small, random perturbations of input
- ... or any other heuristic notion of model's uncertainty



- Score function S((X,Y),D): user-specified function that quantifies how similar data point (X,Y)

$$U((X,Y),D) = |Y - \mu_D(X)|$$

Angelopoulos & Bates (2021) arxiv:2107.07511



 (X_1, Y_1) (X_2, Y_2)

. . . (X_n, Y_n) (X_{n+1}, y)

 (X_1, Y_1) (X_2, Y_2) . . . (X_n, Y_n) (X_{n+1}, y)

$$(X_1, Y_1)$$
$$(X_2, Y_2)$$
$$\dots$$
$$(X_n, Y_n)$$
$$(X_n, Y_n)$$
$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

pression model $\mu_{-1,y}$

$$\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$$
 : regression model trained

$$(X_1, Y_1)$$
$$(X_2, Y_2)$$
$$\cdots$$
$$(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow \text{train reg}$$
$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

d on all but *i*th training point + candidate test point

pression model $\mu_{-1,y}$



$$\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$$
 : regression model trained

$$(X_{1}, Y_{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})|$$

$$(X_{2}, Y_{2})$$

$$(X_{n}, Y_{n})$$

$$(X_{n}, Y_{n})$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})|$$

d on all but *i*th training point + candidate test point

,y



$$(X_1, Y_1) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} (X_2, Y_2)$$
$$(X_2, Y_2)$$
$$(X_n, Y_n)$$
$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

```
\stackrel{\text{re}}{\rightarrow} |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)|
```



$$\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$$
 : regression model trained

$$(X_1, Y_1) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)|$$

$$(X_2, Y_2) \xrightarrow{} \text{train regression model } \mu_{-2,}$$

$$(X_n, Y_n)$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

d on all but *i*th training point + candidate test point

,y



 $\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$: regression model trained on all but $i^{ ext{th}}$ training point + candidate test point

$$(X_{1}, Y_{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})|$$

$$(X_{2}, Y_{2}) \longrightarrow |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})|$$

$$(X_{n}, Y_{n})$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y) \xrightarrow{\text{train regression model } \mu_{-2,y}}$$

y



 $\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$: regression model trained on all but $i^{ ext{th}}$ training point + candidate test point

. . .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_1, Y_1) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| \\ (X_2, Y_2) & \longrightarrow & |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| \end{array}$$

$$(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow |Y_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)|$$

(X_{n+1}, y)



$$\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$$
 : regression model trained

$$(X_{1}, Y_{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})|$$

$$(X_{2}, Y_{2}) \longrightarrow |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})|$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \text{train regression model } \mu_{1:n}$$

$$(X_{n}, Y_{n}) \longrightarrow |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})|$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y)$$

d on all but *i*th training point + candidate test point



$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X_1, Y_1) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| \\ (X_2, Y_2) & \longrightarrow & |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| \\ & & & & & & \\ (X_n, Y_n) & & & & & \\ (X_n, Y_n) & & & & & \\ (X_{n+1}, y) & & & & & & \\ y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| \end{array}$$



 $\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$: regression model trained on all but $i^{ ext{th}}$ training point + candidate test point

. . .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X_1, Y_1) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| \\ (X_2, Y_2) & \longrightarrow & |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| \end{array}$$

$$(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow |Y_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)|$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y) \longrightarrow |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})|$$



$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

 $\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$: regression model trained on all but $i^{ ext{th}}$ training point + candidate test point

. . .

$$(X_1, Y_1) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| = S_1(y)$$

$$(X_2, Y_2) \longrightarrow |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| = S_2(y)$$

$$(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow |Y_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)| = S_n(y)$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y) \longrightarrow |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y)$$



$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1, Y_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{score}} & |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| = S_1(y) \\ (X_2, Y_2) & \longrightarrow & |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| = S_2(y) \\ & \dots \\ (X_n, Y_n) & \longrightarrow & |Y_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)| = S_n(y) \\ X_{n+1}, y) & \longrightarrow & |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccc} (X_{1},Y_{1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{score}} & |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})| = S_{1}(y) \\ (X_{2},Y_{2}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})| = S_{2}(y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ (X_{n},Y_{n}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})| = S_{n}(y) \\ (X_{n+1},y) & \longrightarrow & |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y) \end{array}$$





$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X_{1},Y_{1}) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})| = S_{1}(y) \\ (X_{2},Y_{2}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})| = S_{2}(y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ (X_{n},Y_{n}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})| = S_{n}(y) \\ X_{n+1},y) & \longrightarrow & |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y) \\ S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) & S_{(\lceil 0.6 \cdot (n+1)\rceil)} = S_{(4)}(y) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X_{1},Y_{1}) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})| = S_{1}(y) \\ (X_{2},Y_{2}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})| = S_{2}(y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ (X_{n},Y_{n}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})| = S_{n}(y) \\ (X_{n+1},y) & \longrightarrow & |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y) \\ S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) & S_{(\lceil 0.6 \cdot (n+1)\rceil)} = S_{(4)}(y) \end{array}$$





$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

$$(X_{1}, Y_{1}) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})| = S_{1}(y)$$

$$(X_{2}, Y_{2}) \longrightarrow |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})| = S_{2}(y)$$

$$\dots$$

$$(X_{n}, Y_{n}) \longrightarrow |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})| = S_{n}(y)$$

$$X_{n+1}, y) \longrightarrow |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y)$$

$$S(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)(y) \qquad S_{(\lceil 0.6 \cdot (n+1)\rceil)} = S_{(4)}(y)$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X_{1},Y_{1}) & \stackrel{\text{score}}{\longrightarrow} & |Y_{1} - \mu_{-1,y}(X_{1})| = S_{1}(y) \\ (X_{2},Y_{2}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{2} - \mu_{-2,y}(X_{2})| = S_{2}(y) \\ & & \\ & & \\ (X_{n},Y_{n}) & \longrightarrow & |Y_{n} - \mu_{-n,y}(X_{n})| = S_{n}(y) \\ (X_{n+1},y) & \longrightarrow & |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y) \\ S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) & S_{(\lceil 0.6 \cdot (n+1)\rceil)} = S_{(4)}(y) \end{array}$$

 $S_{n+1}(y)$





$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

- $(X_1, Y_1) \xrightarrow{\text{score}} |Y|$ $(X_2, Y_2) \longrightarrow |Y_2|$
- $(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow |Y_n|$ $(X_{n+1}, y) \longrightarrow |y|$

If $S_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y)$, include the candidate label y in $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})$.

$$|Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| = S_1(y)$$

 $|Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| = S_2(y)$

$$|X_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)| = S_n(y)$$

 $- \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y)$



$$S_i(y) = |Y_i - \mu_{-i,y}(X_i)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(X_1, Y_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{score}} & |Y_1 - \mu_{-1,y}(X_1)| = S_1(y) \\ &(X_2, Y_2) & \longrightarrow & |Y_2 - \mu_{-2,y}(X_2)| = S_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(X_n, Y_n) \longrightarrow |Y_n - \mu_{-n,y}(X_n)| = S_n(y)$$

$$(X_{n+1}, y) \longrightarrow |y - \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1})| = S_{n+1}(y)$$

If $S_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y)$, include the candidate label y in $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})$.

 $\mu_{-i,y}(X_i)$: regression model trained on all but ith training point + candidate test point

 $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \le S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) \}$



$$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \le S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) \}$$

 $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha})$

If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves **coverage**:

$$_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

Vovk et al. (2005), Vovk et al. (2009) Ann. Stat.



 S_1,\ldots,S_n exchangeable random variables $S_{(\lceil (1-lpha)(n+1)\rceil)} = \lceil (1-lpha)(n+1)\rceil$ smallest variable

 $S_1, \ldots, S_n, S_{n+1}$ exchangeable random variables $S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)} = \lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1) \rceil$ smallest variable

 $\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} \leq S_{(\lceil 1)})$

$$-\alpha)(n+1))) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

 $S_1, \ldots, S_n, S_{n+1}$ exchangeable random variables $S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)} = \lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1) \rceil$ smallest variable

 $\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} \leq S_{(\lceil 1)})$

uniformly distributed on $\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$.

 $\mathbb{P}(\pi(S_{n+1}) \leq \lceil (1 - 1) \rceil)$

$$-\alpha)(n+1))) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

Proof. Since $S_1, \ldots, S_n, S_{n+1}$ are exchangeable, the rank of S_{n+1} is

$$-\alpha)(n+1)]) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

- If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves coverage:

$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \le S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1) \rceil)}(y) \}$

 $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$

- If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves **coverage**:
 - - (X_1, Y_1)

. . .

Proof:

- (X_n, Y_n)
- (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})

exchangeable data

$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \le S_{(\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil)}(y) \}$

 $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$

- $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{r}\}$
- If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves coverage:
 - $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha})$
 - (X_1, Y_1)

. . .

Proof:

- (X_n, Y_n)
- (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})

exchangeable data ==

$$_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{\left(\left\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\right\rceil\right)}(y)\right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} (X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha \\ S_1(Y_{n+1}) \\ \cdots \\ S_n(Y_{n+1}) \\ S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow \text{ exchangeable scores} \end{array}$$

- $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{r}\}$
- If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves coverage:
 - $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha})$
 - (X_1, Y_1)

. . .

Proof:

- (X_n, Y_n)
- (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})

exchangeable data =

 $\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1}) \le S_{(\lceil 1)})$

$$_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{\left(\left\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\right\rceil\right)}(y)\right\}$$

$$S_{n}(X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

$$S_{1}(Y_{n+1})$$

$$\dots$$

$$S_{n}(Y_{n+1})$$

$$S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ exchangeable scores}$$

$$S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

- $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n}\}$
- If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves coverage:
 - $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha})$
 - (X_1, Y_1)

. . .

Proof:

- (X_n, Y_n)
- (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})

exchangeable data ==

 $\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1}) \le S_{(\lceil 1)})$

$$_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{\left(\left\lceil (1-\alpha)(n+1)\right\rceil\right)}(y)\}$$

$$(X_{n+1}) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

$$S_1(Y_{n+1})$$

$$\dots$$

$$S_n(Y_{n+1})$$

$$S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ exchangeable scores}$$

$$(-\alpha)(n+1) \downarrow (Y_{n+1}) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

Introduction to conformal prediction If the data are exchangeable, this confidence set achieves **coverage**: $\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha})$ (X_1, Y_1) Proof: (X_n, Y_n) (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) exchangeable data = $\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1}) \leq S_{(\lceil (1-1))})$



$$\begin{array}{l} (X_{n+1})) \geq 1 - \alpha \\ S_1(Y_{n+1}) \\ \dots \\ S_n(Y_{n+1}) \\ S_{n+1}(Y_{n+1}) \\ \Rightarrow \text{ exchangeable scores} \end{array}$$

$$-\alpha)(n+1)\rceil(Y_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

 (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to training data as quantified by a score.

$$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \text{score of candidate test} \\ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \leq S_{n+1}(y) \end{cases}$$

Intuition for exchangeable data: include all real values, y, such that the **candidate test point**,





Intuition for data under FCS: include all real values, y , such that the **candidate test point**, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to the **weighted** training data as quantified by a score.

designed input (e.g.,

designed protein)

$$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \leq \text{QUANTILE}_{1-\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w_i(y) \cdot \delta_{S_i(y)} \right) \right\}$$
weights that take into account that the data are a second straining for the second straining s

ata are **statistically dependent** through FCS





Intuition for data under FCS: include all real values, y , such that the **candidate test point**, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to the **weighted** training data as quantified by a score. designed input (e.g., designed protein) $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \text{score of candidate test} \\ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \leq \end{cases}$ $\frac{w_i(y)}{f} \propto \frac{\tilde{p}_{X;Z_{-i}\cup\{(X_{n+1},y)\}}(X_i)}{f} p_X(X_i)$ training input distribution input distribution induced by regression model trained on $Z_{-i} \cup \{(X_{n+1}, y)\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{scores of training + candidate test} \\ \leq \text{QUANTILE}_{1-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} n+1 & \uparrow \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w_i(y) \cdot \delta_{S_i(y)} \end{pmatrix} \\ & \uparrow \\ & \text{weights that take into account that the data} \end{array}$$

ata are **statistically dependent** through FCS





Intuition for data under FCS: include all real values, y , such that the **candidate test point**, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to the **weighted** training data as quantified by a score. designed input (e.g., designed protein) $C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \begin{cases} \text{score of candidate test} \\ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \leq \end{cases}$ $w_i(y) \propto \frac{\tilde{p}_{X;Z_{-i}\cup\{(X_{n+1},y)\}}(X_i)}{\uparrow} p_X(X_i)$ training input distribution input distribution induced by regression model trained on $Z_{-i} \cup \{(X_{n+1}, y)\} = \{(X_1, y)\} = \{(X$

$$\leq \text{QUANTILE}_{1-\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} n+1 & & \uparrow \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w_i(y) \cdot \delta_{S_i(y)} \end{pmatrix}$$
weights that take into account that the data

at take into account that the data are **statistically dependent** through FCS

$$\{Y_1\},\ldots,(X_n,Y_n),(X_{n+1},y)\}\setminus\{(X_i,Y_i)\}$$



Intuition for data under FCS: include all real values, y , such that the **candidate test point**, (X_{n+1}, y) , looks sufficiently similar to the **weighted** training data as quantified by a score.

designed input (e.g.,

designed protein)

$$C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1}) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : S_{n+1}(y) \leq \text{QUANTILE}_{1-\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} w_i(y) \cdot \delta_{S_i(y)} \right) \right\}$$
weights that take into account that the data

This set achieves coverage for data under feedback covariate shift:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_{n+1} \in C_{\alpha}(X_{n+1})) \ge 1 - \alpha$$

are **statistically dependent** through FCS





Roadmap

Single-shot design

Feedback covariate shift

Intro to conformal prediction

Generalization to feedback covariate shift

Demonstration with protein design experiments

Protein design experiments



example blue fluorescent protein

- Training data: sample $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$ uniformly from the 2¹³ variants
- Regression model: ridge regression model with singleton and pairwise features
- Design algorithm: sample sequence, X_{n+1} , from

$\tilde{p}_X(X_{n+1}) \propto \exp(X_{n+1})$

Goal: design brighter blue fluorescent and red fluorescent proteins



example red fluorescent protein

• "Complete landscape" data set: blue/red brightness of all 2¹³ variants that differ at 13 sites

regression model fit to *n* training points

$$\exp(\lambda \cdot \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1}))$$

Data from Poelwijk et al. (2019), Nat. Commun. Design algorithm from Biswas et al. (2021), Nat. Methods



Uncertainty quantification can guide design algorithm selection

Design algorithm: sample X_{n+1} from $\tilde{p}_X(X_{n+1}) \propto \exp(\lambda \cdot \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1}))$

Greater λ means both (i) higher predicted fluorescence and (ii) higher predictive uncertainty.

inverse temperature trained regression model

Data from Poelwijk et al. (2019), Nat. Commun. Design algorithm from Biswas et al. (2021), Nat. Methods





Uncertainty quantification can guide design algorithm selection

Greater λ means both (i) higher predicted fluorescence and (ii) higher predictive uncertainty.



Design algorithm: sample X_{n+1} from $\tilde{p}_X(X_{n+1}) \propto \exp(\lambda \cdot \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1}))$

inverse temperature trained regression model



Uncertainty quantification can guide design algorithm selection

Design algorithm: sample X_{n+1} from $\tilde{p}_X(X_{n+1}) \propto \exp(\lambda \cdot \mu_{1:n}(X_{n+1}))$

Greater λ means both (i) higher predicted fluorescence and (ii) higher predictive uncertainty. How should we set λ ? We can use confidence interval

width to navigate trade-off between (i) and (ii).

More details and examples:

Fannjiang, Bates, Angelopoulos, Listgarten, & Jordan. "Conformal prediction for the design problem", arXiv:2202.03613, in submission.

inverse temperature trained regression model



Data from Poelwijk et al. (2019), Nat. Commun. Design algorithm from Biswas et al. (2021), Nat. Methods









BERKELEY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RESEARCH



