When yield prediction does not yield prediction and approaches to fix it

Varvara Voinarovska^{1,2}, Mikhail Kabeshov², Samuel Genheden², Dmytro Dudenko³ and Igor Tetko⁴

¹Technical University of Munich, Germany; TUM Graduate School, Faculty of Chemistry ²Molecular AI, Discovery Sciences, AstraZeneca R&D., Pepparedsleden 1, 43150 Mölndal, Sweden. ³Enamine Ltd., 78 Chervonotkatska str., 02094 Kyiv, Ukraine. ⁴Institute of Structural Biology, Molecular Targets and Therapeutics Center, Helmholtz Munich – Deutsches Forschungszentrum für Gesundheit und Umwelt (GmbH), 85764 Neuherberg, Germany.

AstraZenec



Mean Standard Deviation of Yield by Source



Why do we want to predict yields:

- Crucial for multistep synthesis where yield impacts overall success, yield decrease in a step can significantly affect synthesis success
- Cost reduction in synthesis, making drugs more affordable
- Minimization of unwanted byproducts, enhancing sustainability

What have we investigated?

- Overview of current challenges in data recording regarding yield
- A typical pipeline of yield prediction in SoTA in a regression manner
- The dependence in the representation of reactions based on a record source

Findings:

- Models investigated (Yield-BERT, classical models trained on RXNFP, DRFP) do not perform well in a regression manner on real-world Buchwald-Hartwig reaction data and have poor generalization.
- Different data recording representation can have drastic effects on data encoding

Conclusions:

- We need more standardization and homogeneity in data recording
- Current widely used descriptors are not well-suited for reactivity • predictions
- The more chemically relevant descriptors should be used











Fig .2. A different recording of one class of a reaction (top: BH dataset, bottom AZ ELN 750 dataset)

Fig. 3. Deviation in the encoding due to different recording

SS

Cla

2

Class

Current work:

Multi-bin prediction

Rationale:

- Divide available yield data into bins to translate the problem from regression to a classification problem
- Classification can be used to predict whether a reaction is good or poor-yielding How to:
- Find the optimal bin thresholds using the Optuna package
- Using thresholds, train models and compare performance between various methods, fingerprints and reactions



Fig. 4. Ease the problem by binning the data

Data:

• 5 Reaction types: Amide coupling, Reductive amination, Buchwald-Hartwig coupling, Suzuki coupling, SnAr

Findings:

- Data gets divided into common sense bins during the bin optimization
- Thorough data curation improves the results of classification
- RFC models developed on pure ELN training set perform better than models developed on ELN+Reaxys
- Kallisto-developed models perform better than ECFP and Yield-BERT

Limitations:

- Poor performance on imbalanced datasets and limited generalizability
- Data quality is still problematic

Overcoming limitations:

- Include encodings of the essential reagent to the reaction
- Use structural split to further estimate generalization capabilities
- Add the purification type as a descriptor
- · Investigate other factors that could influence yield from the theory to the practice

Food for thought:

0.2

Balanced Accuracy

Precision Micro

• What are we missing in data recording that could be



Precision micro: 0.577 Precision macro: 0.577 Balanced Accuracy: 0.560 Matthews Correlation Coefficient: 0.374 Geometric Mean Score: 0.553

Fig. 5. Amide coupling model trained on ELN data prediction on ELN hold-out test set



- Inner AZ Electronic Lab Notebooks (ELN)
- Reaxys
- Small libraries from iLAB team in AZ
- Enamine

Methods:

- Yield-BERT
- Random Forest Classification (RFC)
- Fingerprints: ECFP and Proximity shells + Charges (generated with Kallisto)



Author's information Varvara Voinarovska 3rd year PhD student AstraZeneca, Technische Universität München Fellow of Marie Skłodowska-Curie Excellence program varvara.voinarovska@az.com

References

[1] Voinarovska, V., Kabeshov, M., Dudenko, D., Genheden, S., and Tetko, I.V. When Yield Prediction Does Not Yield Prediction: An Overview of the Current Challenges. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling., 64(1), 42-56. (2023) [2] Schwaller, P., Vaucher, A.C., Laino, T., and Reymond, J.-L. Prediction of chemical reaction yields using deep learning. Machine Learning: Science and Technology., 2(1), 015016. (2021). [3] Akiba, T., Sano, S., Yanase, T., Ohta, T., and Koyama, M. Optuna: A Next-generation

Hyperparameter Optimization Framework. (2019) [4] Caldeweyher, E. kallisto: A command-line interface to simplify computational modelling and the

generation of atomic features. Journal of Open Source Software., 6(60), 3050. (2021). Acknowledgements:

This study was partially funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Network European Industrial Doctorate grant agreement No. 956832.



- Can we extract this data from the current recorded data?
- Which factors are the most important between the thermodynamical accessibility of a reaction and the synthesized product?





Balanced Accuracy

Fig. 7. Balanced accuracy and Precision micro for 3 and 4 class amide coupling models Kallisto FP vs ECFP FP

Precision Micro

Balanced Accuracy



Precision micro: 0.517 Balanced Accuracy: 0.254 Matthews Correlation Coefficient: 0.024 Geometric Mean Score: 0.019

Fig. 6. Amide coupling model trained on ELN data prediction on Enamine dataset

"The data may not contain the answer. The combination of some data and an aching desire for an answer does not ensure that a reasonable answer can be extracted from a given body of data." - John Tukey.